Agenda Item 12

Committee: Planning Applications

Date: 9th December 2021

Subject: Planning Appeal Decisions

Lead officer: Head of Sustainable Communities

Lead member: Chair, Planning Applications Committee

Recommendation:

That Members note the contents of the report.

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 For Members' information recent decisions made by Inspectors appointed by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government in respect of recent Town Planning Appeals are set out below.
- The relevant Inspectors decision letters are not attached to this report but can be viewed by following each individual link. Other agenda papers for this meeting can be viewed on the Committee Page of the Council Website via the following link:

LINK TO COMMITTEE PAGE

DETAILS

Application Number 19/P1676

Appeal number: APP/T5720/W/20/3253478

Site: 579-589 Kingston Road, Raynes Park SW20 8SD

Development: SCHEME A - DEMOLITION OF EXISTING BUILDINGS AND

REDEVELOPMENT OF SITE TO PROVIDE OFFICE SPACE AND RESIDENTIAL UNITS IN BUILDINGS OF TWO TO SIX STOREYS, COMPRISING 118 SELF-CONTAINED FLATS, CAR AND CYCLE PARKING, VEHICLE ACCESS, LANDSCAPING, PLANT AND

ASSOCIATED WORKS

LPA Recommendation: Grant subject to 106 (Refused at Committee)

Appeal Decision: ALLOWED

Date of Appeal Decision: 12th November 2021

Application Number 19/P1675

Appeal number: APP/T5720/W/20/3253482

Site: 579-589 Kingston Road, Raynes Park SW20 8SD

Development: SCHEME B - DEMOLITION OF EXISTING BUILDINGS AND

REDEVELOPMENT OF SITE TO PROVIDE OFFICE SPACE AND

RESIDENTIAL UNITS IN BUILDINGS OF TWO TO SEVEN STOREYS, COMPRISING 124 SELF-CONTAINED FLATS, CAR AND CYCLE PARKING, VEHICLE ACCESS, LANDSCAPING,

PLANT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

LPA Recommendation: Grant subject to 106 (Refused at Committee)

Appeal Decision: ALLOWED

Date of Appeal Decision: 12th November 2021

LINK TO DECISIONS

Application Number: 20/P2140

Appeal number: APP/T5720/W/ 21/3273819

Site: Alpine Works, Hallowell Close, Mitcham CR4 2QD

Development: ERECTION OF A SINGLE STOREY FRONT EXTENSION,

CHANGE OF USE FROM B8 STORAGE TO C3 RESIDENTIAL FOR THE CREATION OF 2 x 1 BED SELF-CONTAINED FLATS

LPA Decision: Refused (Delegated)

Appeal Decision: DISMISSED

Date of Appeal Decision: 25th November 2021

LINK TO DECISION

Application Number: 20/P2541

Appeal number: APP/T5720/W/20/3264871

Site: 19 Robinson Road, Tooting SW17 9DQ

Development: EXTERNAL STAIR ACCESS TO FIRST FLOOR FLAT

LPA Decision: Refused (Delegated)

Appeal Decision: DISMISSED

Date of Appeal Decision: 25th November 2021

LINK TO DECISION

Application Number: 20/P3713

Appeal number: APP/T5720/H/21/3271447

Site: 89 Worple Road, Wimbledon SW19 4JG

Development: TELECOM PRIOR APPROVAL FOR THE ERECTION OF A 15

METRE HIGH MONOPOLE WITH 1 x BUILT-IN CABINET AT BASE, 3 x FREE-STANDING CABINETS AND ANCILLARY

WORKS ON PAVEMENT IN CAMBRIDGE ROAD

LPA Decision: Refused (Delegated)

Appeal Decision: DISMISSED

Date of Appeal Decision: 4th November 2021

LINK TO DECISION

Application Number: 20/P3794

Appeal number: APP/T5720/W/21/3270978

Site: 243 London Road, Mitcham CR4 3NH

Development: CREATION OF 1 x 1 BED SELF CONTAINED FLAT, INVOLVING

ERECTION OF GROUND & FIRST FLOOR REAR EXTENSIONS

LPA Decision: Refused (Delegated)

Appeal Decision: DISMISSED

Date of Appeal Decision: 6th November 2021

LINK TO DECISION

Application Number: 21/P1366

Appeal number: APP/T5720/D/21/3281161

Site: 78 Kenilworth Avenue, Wimbledon SW19 7LR

Development: DEMOLITION OF EXISTING SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION

AND ERECTION OF A TWO STOREY REAR EXTENSION AND FRONT AND REAR ROOF EXTENSIONS. INSTALLATION OF

ROOFLIGHTS TO FRONT AND REAR ROOF SLOPES.

LPA Decision: Refused (Delegated)

Appeal Decision: DISMISSED

Date of Appeal Decision: 6th November 2021

LINK TO DECISION

Alternative options

- 3.1 The appeal decision is final unless it is successfully challenged in the Courts. If a challenge is successful, the appeal decision will be quashed and the case returned to the Secretary of State for re-determination. It does not follow necessarily that the original appeal decision will be reversed when it is redetermined.
- 3.2 The Council may wish to consider taking legal advice before embarking on a challenge. The following applies: Under the provision of Section 288 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990, or Section 63 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, a person or an establishment who is aggrieved by a decision may seek to have it quashed by making an application to the High Court on the following grounds: -
 - 1. That the decision is not within the powers of the Act; or
 - 2. That any of the relevant requirements have not been complied with; (relevant requirements means any requirements of the 1990 Act or of the Tribunal's Land Enquiries Act 1992, or of any Order, Regulation or Rule made under those Acts).

1 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

1.1. None required for the purposes of this report.

2 TIMETABLE

2.1. N/A

3 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

3.1. There are financial implications for the Council in respect of appeal decisions where costs are awarded against the Council.

4 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

4.1. An Inspector's decision may be challenged in the High Court, within 6 weeks of the date of the decision letter (see above).

5 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

5.1. None for the purposes of this report.

6 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

6.1. None for the purposes of this report.

7 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

7.1. See 6.1 above.

8 BACKGROUND PAPERS

8.1. The papers used to compile this report are the Council's Development Control service's Town Planning files relating to the sites referred to above and the agendas and minutes of the Planning Applications Committee where relevant.

