

Committee: Planning Applications

Date: 14th May 2020

Subject: Planning Appeal Decisions

Lead officer: Head of Sustainable Communities

Lead member: Chair, Planning Applications Committee

Recommendation:

That Members note the contents of the report.

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 For Members' information recent decisions made by Inspectors appointed by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government in respect of recent Town Planning Appeals are set out below.
- 1.2 The relevant Inspectors decision letters are not attached to this report but can be viewed by following each individual link. Other agenda papers for this meeting can be viewed on the Committee Page of the Council Website via the following link:

[**LINK TO COMMITTEE PAGE**](#)

DETAILS

Application Numbers: **19/P1462**
Site: Flat 1, 237 Kingston Road, Wimbledon SW19 3NW
Development: Erection of single storey rear extension
Recommendation: Refuse (delegated decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Decision: 13th March 2020

[**Link to Appeal Decision Notice**](#)

Application Numbers: **19/P2650**
Site: 5 Park Avenue Mews, Mitcham CR4 2AJ
Development: Change of use from storage (class B8) to residential (class C3)
Recommendation: Refuse (delegated decision)
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**
Date of Appeal Withdrawal: 26th March 2020

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

Application Numbers: **19/P2905**
Site: 64 Henfield Road, Wimbledon SW19 3HH
Development: Prior approval for a single storey rear extension, extending 6 metres from rear of building, to a maximum height of 3.6 metres and eaves at 3 metres
Recommendation: Refuse (delegated decision)
Appeal Decision: **ALLOWED**
Appeal Costs Decision: **GRANTED FULL COSTS**
Date of Appeal Withdrawal: 13th March 2020

[Link to Appeal Decision Notice](#)

[Link to Costs Decision](#)

Alternative options

- 3.1 The appeal decision is final unless it is successfully challenged in the Courts. If a challenge is successful, the appeal decision will be quashed and the case returned to the Secretary of State for re-determination. It does not follow necessarily that the original appeal decision will be reversed when it is re-determined.
- 3.2 The Council may wish to consider taking legal advice before embarking on a challenge. The following applies: Under the provision of Section 288 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990, or Section 63 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, a person or an establishment who is aggrieved by a decision may seek to have it quashed by making an application to the High Court on the following grounds: -
 1. That the decision is not within the powers of the Act; or
 2. That any of the relevant requirements have not been complied with; (relevant requirements means any requirements of the 1990 Act or of the Tribunal's Land Enquiries Act 1992, or of any Order, Regulation or Rule made under those Acts).

1 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

1.1. None required for the purposes of this report.

2 TIMETABLE

2.1. N/A

3 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

3.1. There are financial implications for the Council in respect of appeal decisions where costs are awarded against the Council.

4 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

4.1. An Inspector's decision may be challenged in the High Court, within 6 weeks of the date of the decision letter (see above).

5 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

5.1. None for the purposes of this report.

6 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

6.1. None for the purposes of this report.

7 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

7.1. See 6.1 above.

8 BACKGROUND PAPERS

8.1. The papers used to compile this report are the Council's Development Control service's Town Planning files relating to the sites referred to above and the agendas and minutes of the Planning Applications Committee where relevant.

This page is intentionally left blank