## Agenda Item 7

| PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE <br> $16^{\text {th }}$ January 2014 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Item No: |  |
| UPRN | APPLICATION NO. | DATE VALID |  |
|  | 13/P1038 | 02/04/2013 |  |
| Address/Site: | 7 - 9 Darlaston Road, West Wimbledon, SW19 4LF |  |  |
| (Ward) | Hillside |  |  |
| Proposal: | Demolition of Existing Building and Erection of a New Four-Storey (Maximum) Building Comprising $19 \times 2$-bed and $1 \times$ 1-bed Age Restricted (Over 55s) Flats with Communal Garden and Basement Parking. |  |  |
| Drawing Nos: | APL001, APL002, APL011(H), APL APL015(B), APL APL019(A), APL0 CBA10125.01. | 008(C), APL009, APL013(P), APL017(B), APL021(A), | APL010(G), <br> APL014(K), <br> APL018(B), <br>  |
| Contact Officer: | David Gardener (020 | 3115) |  |

## RECOMMENDATION

Grant Planning Permission subject to conditions and the completion of a Section 106 Obligation.

## CHECKLIST INFORMATION

- Heads of agreement: Affordable Housing, Permit Free
- Is a screening opinion required: No
- Is an Environmental Statement required: No
- Has an Environmental Impact Assessment been submitted: No
- Press notice: No
- Site notice: Yes
- Design Review Panel consulted: No
- Number of neighbours consulted: 260
- External consultations: None
- Number of jobs created: N/A


## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The application has been brought before the Planning Applications

Committee due to the number of objections received and the requirement for a Section 106 agreement with regards to the above heads of terms.

## 2. SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

2.1 The application site comprises a detached building, which is located on the southwest side of Darlaston Road, close to the junction with Worple Road. Darlaston Road is located on a hill, which means the site slopes downwards from north to south.
2.2 The surrounding area is predominantly residential in character, comprising a mixture of detached houses, with some having been converted into flats, as well as blocks of purpose built flats.
2.3 The existing building was erected in the late 1960s/early 1970s and is a maximum of four storeys in height. The building was extended at the rear in the early 1990s, with a part single/part-two storey extension. The building contains 38 single occupancy bedsits for the elderly, which are now fully vacated.
2.4 The site itself is not located within a Conservation Area, but does adjoin the Merton (Wimbledon West) Conservation Area, which is located to the north and west of the site.
2.5 The site has a PTAL rating of 2 and is located in Controlled Parking Zone.

## 3. CURRENT PROPOSAL

3.1 The application as originally submitted proposed the demolition of the existing building, with the erection of a new detached building comprising $21 \times 2$ bedroom flats. The plans have since been amended with the third and fourth floors reconfigured with one flat removed and another flat reduced to one bedroom. The proposed building will now comprise $19 \times 2$-bed and $1 \times 1$-bed self-contained flats, which will be marketed to the over 55 s . It is proposed that five ( $25 \%$ ) of the flats will be affordable in the form of Equity Sale.
3.2 The building will comprise residential accommodation arranged over a maximum of four floors above ground level. The buiding would step down from the front to between one and two storeys at the rear. A basement level with access ramp will provide 21 off-street car parking spaces. In total 11 Sheffield Stands will provide a minimum of one bicycle space per flat.
3.3 The building will have a contemporary appearance, with the front part of the building, featuring a double gabled roof, which addresses the street, whilst the rear element features 'green' flat roofs, with Solar PV panels. Splayed windows will feature on its side elevations. With regards to facing materials the bulk of the building will feature Wrekin Berkshire Red Brick, with the section of the front elevation between the two forward projecting gables, and sections featuring the splayed windows featuring Rheinzink Clad walls. The proposed roof will comprise slate tile, and the windows will be grey powder coated aluminium.
3.4 Private amenity space will be provided for the 19 flats in the form of balconies, terraces or patios. A communal garden would be located at the rear. Other communal elements including a foyer lounge and garden lounge will be provided at ground floor level.
3.5 A full landscaping plan has been submitted with new trees planted along the front, rear and side boundaries. Two trees (2 x Class C1 Common Lime), which are located close to the side boundary with No.11, and two trees (1 x Class C1 Pear \& 1 x Class U Purple Leaved Plum) located close to the rear boundary will be removed.

## 4. PLANNING HISTORY

The following planning history is relevant:
4.1 MER557/69(D) - Detailed plans for old peoples home. Granted, 19/02/1970.
4.2 MER186/79 - Conversion of offices into 6 bed sit flats for the elderly. Granted, 27/09/1979.
4.3 MER580/84 - Single storey extension at the rear. Granted, 07/08/1984.
4.4 MER857/86 - Single storey rear extension. Granted, 06/10/1986.
4.5 MER434/86 - Erection of single storey side extension to form a laundry room together with a store room. Granted, 17/06/1986.
4.6 86/P0857 - Alterations to and erection of a single storey conservatory/lounge extension at rear of elderly persons home. Granted, 06/10/1986.
4.7 92/P0316 - Erection of a part single part two storey extension at rear of property used as sheltered bedsitter accommodation to provide a health exercise therapy and lounge area at ground level with staff flat on first floor involving demolition of existing staff flat at rear and two outbuildings to southeast of existing building. Granted, 23/07/1992.
4.8 In November 2012 a pre-application meeting (LBM Ref: 12/P2881/NEW) was held between the applicant and Council officers.

## 5. POLICY CONTEXT

The relevant policies in the Adopted Unitary Development Plan (October 2003) are:
5.1 BE. 15 (New Buildings and Extensions; Daylight, Sunlight, Privacy, Visual Intrusion and Noise), BE. 22 (Design of New Development), BE. 23 (Alterations and New Extensions), F. 2 (Planning Obligations), HS. 1 (Housing Layout and Amenity), RN3 (Vehicular Access)
5.2 The relevant policies in the Adopted Core Strategy (July 2011) are:

CS. 8 (Housing Choice), CS. 9 (Housing Provision) CS. 14 (Design), CS. 15 (Climate Change), CS. 20 (Parking, Servicing and Delivery)
5.3 The relevant policies in the London Plan (July 2011) are:
3.3 (Increasing Housing Supply), 3.5 (Quality and Design of Housing Developments), 3.8 (Housing Choice), 5.3 (Sustainable Design and Construction).
5.4 The following Merton Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) is also relevant:
New Residential Development (September 1999)

## 6. CONSULTATION

6.1 The application has been publicised by means of a site notice and letters to neighbouring occupiers. In response, six letters of objection (including one letter of objection from the Wimbledon Society) have been received on the following grounds:

- Overdevelopment of site
- Larger than existing building and out of scale with other buildings in surrounding area
- Poor precedent given its excessive depth
- Loss of sunlight/daylight
- Loss of privacy
- Out of character/not in keeping with surrounding area and adjacent Conservation Area
- Loss of outlook and overbearing impact
- No arboricultural assessment and loss of trees
- Insufficient parking and impact on traffic
- Nothing to prevent flats been used in future for general rental


### 6.2 Wimbledon Society

Have raised a number of concerns with regards to the number of single aspect flats proposed and the large size of the rear element of the building and its proximity to the boundary with No.5. Concerns have also been raised regarding the front building line being too far forward, and the building not being Code Level 5.
6.3 Transport Planning - No objections
6.4 Housing Needs - Support the scheme as it meets a strategic need for providing support and care services to promote early interventions. This would help delay or prevent the need for higher level support.

## 7. PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

The main issues to be considered are the design of the proposed building, standard of accommodation to be provided, and its impact on residential amenity, traffic and parking, and trees.

### 7.1 Visual Amenity

7.11 Policy BE. 22 of the UDP requires proposals for new development to achieve the following:
(i) Respect for the siting, rhythm, scale, density, proportions, height, materials and massing of surrounding buildings, and
(ii) High standard of design that will complement the character and local distinctiveness of the adjoining townscape and/or landscape, or a high standard of design that will enhance the character of the area, where local distinctiveness or attractiveness if lacking.

In addition, Policy CS. 14 of the Core Planning Strategy requires development that improves Merton's overall design standard, whilst Policy BE. 3 requires development that is located adjacent to a Conservation Area to preserve or enhance its setting and not detract from views into or out of the area.
7.12 The existing building, which was erected in the late 1960s/early 1970s, is considered to be of little architectural merit and rather ugly, particularly given its built form and poor quality materials, which are badly weathered. Given its unsatisfactory appearance it is considered that the existing building has a detrimental impact on the Darlaston Road street scene, the wider setting and the adjacent Merton (Wimbledon West) conservation area, which is located immediately to the northwest and southwest of the application site.
7.13 There is an eclectic mix of building styles along this part of Darlaston Road, with modern purpose built flats located closest to the junction with Worple Road, whilst traditional Victorian buildings are generally located further along the road into the Conservation Area. Other than the existing building the only modern building on the same side of the road as the application site is Brunswick Court, which is located at the junction with Worple Road. Although Brunswick Court is a modern purpose built block of flats it has been designed in a traditional manner so that it integrates sympathetically with the Victorian buildings along this part of the road, with for example the roof designed with three gables addressing Darlaston Road, which are of the same proportions as the gabled roof of No.5.
7.14 The proposed building, which will offer a contemporary take on a traditional Victorian streetscene, and is considered to be of a high quality design that will complement the character and local distinctiveness of the adjoining townscape. In terms of its appearance, it is considered that the principal elevation will provide significant improvement to the streetscene. This element will have a more traditional form, with contemporary detailing. Similar to Brunswick Court, the front of the building will also feature two gables, which address the street, which will complement the gabled roof forms featured on Victorian buildings further along the road, including those at both adjoining properties Nos. $5 \& 11$. The rear of the building will be more contemporary in terms of its form, with flat roofs, which step down towards the rear of the site. This part of the building cannot be seen from the public realm or the wider
conservation area and as such there is more scope for this type of approach.
7.15 It is considered that the proposed building is acceptable in terms of its height with the ridge and eaves height of the front element lower than No. 11 but higher than No.5, reflecting the gradient of the road. The building will have four floors above ground at the front, which will step down to between one and two storeys at the rear. The buildings footprint is only slightly larger than existing, with for example the flank wall of the rear element located closer to the side boundary with No.11. This is considered acceptable and would not result in an overdevelopment of the site, given there would only be a small increase in the overall footprint, and there is more than adequate space leftover for landscaping. It should also be noted that the southeast facing flank wall at ground floor level is located further from the side boundary with No. 5 than existing. Concerns were raised by Council Planning Officers regarding the bulk and massing of the building when the application was first submitted. These concerns have however been addressed by reducing the depth of the second and third floors and omitting one of the flats.
7.16 Overall, it is considered that the proposal would accord with policies BE. 3 and BE. 22 of the UDP and CS. 14 of the Core Planning Strategy, as it would respect the scale, density, proportions, height and materials of surrounding properties, whilst being of a high standard of design that would complement the character and local distinctiveness of the adjoining townscape and would preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the conservation area.

### 7.2 Residential Amenity

7.21 Policy BE. 15 of the UDP requires new buildings to protect amenities from visual intrusion and ensure good levels of privacy for occupiers of adjoining properties. In addition Policy BE. 15 requires new buildings to provide for levels of sunlight and daylight to adjoining buildings and land to ensure proper living conditions of all residents and enjoyment of amenity spaces.
7.22 It should be noted that the main part of the existing building, which fronts Darlaston Road, is four storeys in height, and then tapers down towards the rear with the bulk of the building located to the front (east) and south part of the site. No. 5 Darlaston Road, which is located to the south, has been converted into eight flats, whilst No. 11 to the north remains in use as a single house.

### 7.23 No. 5 Darlaston Road

Given the gradient of the road, No. 5 is located on lower ground than the application site. This property has been converted into eight flats with much of the rear garden used for car parking, with a row of garages sited along part of the side boundary with the application site. It is considered that the proposed building would not be visually intrusive or overbearing when viewed from No. 5 as it would be a similar depth to the existing building at ground floor level with its first floor stepped in from the ground floor flank wall. The first floor would also be stepped in further towards the rear of the building. The second floor would only project slightly further back than the second floor of the existing
building and the third floor is stepped in further to reduce any visual impact. It is also considered that the proposal would not have a detrimental impact on levels of privacy or on levels of daylight/sunlight received at this property given the habitable room windows would be splayed, no balconies are located on the southeast facing flank elevation, and the building would sit northwest of No. 5 .

### 7.24 No. 11 Darlaston Road

Given the gradient of the road, No. 11 is located on higher ground than the application site. When viewed from this property, the rear element of the proposed building would be a similar depth in depth at ground floor level, but would extend approx. 15.5 m further back at first floor level. The rear element would project approx. 7 m further back at second floor and 8.5 m further back at third floor level in comparison to existing. It should also be noted that the flank wall of the rear element of the building would be sited approx. 5.5 m closer to the side boundary with No.11.
7.25 It is considered that the proposed building would not be too visually intrusive or overbearing when viewed from No.11. The second and third floors have been amended at the request of Council Officers to address concerns about the visual impact of these floors when viewed from No.11. The second and third floors have now been reduced in depth with the second floor stepped in at the rear. It is considered that although the northwest facing flank wall is located closer to the side boundary with No. 11 and the first floor is deeper than existing, the much lower ground level at the application site will reduce its massing when viewed from this property to an acceptable level. It is also considered that the proposal would not have a detrimental impact on privacy levels at No. 11 as the proposed balconies will feature 1.8 m higher privacy screens and there would not be any windows at second and third floor levels facing the side boundary with No.11. At first floor level a number of windows are splayed with only a small number of windows facing the side boundary. Given the much lower ground level at the application site and the gap between these windows and the side boundary, this is considered to be acceptable in this instance.
7.26 Overall, it is considered that the proposal would not have a detrimental impact on residential amenity and as such accords with policy BE. 15 of the UDP.

### 7.3 Standard of Accommodation

7.31 The London Plan was published in July 2011 and sets out a minimum gross internal area standard for new homes as part of policy 3.5. Previously, details on Merton's space standards for residential development were set out in Merton's New Residential Development SPG 1999. As the London Plan is part of Merton's development plan and is more up to date, the most appropriate minimum space standards for Merton are now found in the London Plan (July 2011), policy 3.5.
7.32 In addition, adopted policy CS. 14 of the Core Strategy and HS. 1 of the UDP encourages well designed housing in the borough by ensuring that all
residential development complies with the most appropriate minimum space standards and provides functional internal spaces that are fit for purpose. New residential development should safeguard the amenities of occupiers by providing appropriate levels of sunlight \& daylight and privacy for occupiers of adjacent properties and for future occupiers of proposed dwellings. The living conditions of existing and future residents should not be diminished by increased noise or disturbance.
7.33 The proposed building comprises nineteen two-bedroom and one, onebedroom self-contained flats arranged over four floors. The London Plan requires one bedroom (2 person) flats are 50sq.m, two-bedroom (3 person) flats are 61 sq.m and two-bedroom ( 4 person) flats are 70 sq.m. The flats will range in size from 64.1sq.m to 123.9 sq.m and as such meet the minimum size requirements set out in the London Plan. The proposed flats would also have a good stacking arrangement and circulation with the majority double aspect. Although some of the flats are single aspect it should be noted that the applicant has provided a daylight/sunlight analysis, which confirms that all the proposed flats will receive adequate levels of natural daylight and sunlight.
7.34 Policy HS. 1 of the UDP requires a minimum of 10 square metres of private or communal amenity space is provided, per habitable room. A large communal area for all the flats will be provided at the rear, and all but one of the flats will have access to a private balcony, terrace or patio. Overall, the amount of private and communal space would comfortably exceed the minimum of 10 square metres per habitable room.

### 7.4 Parking and Traffic Issues

7.41 The application site is located in a controlled parking zone (CPZ W6) and has a PTAL rating of 2, which indicates that it has poor access to public transport services. However, it should be noted that the site is located very close to Worple Road, which is a main route located in Wimbledon, which leads to the Beverley Way (A3) and Kingston to the west. Although the site has a poor PTAL rating of 2, there are regular bus services, which run along Worple Road, providing services to Wimbledon Town Centre, Raynes Park etc.
7.42 Car parking is currently accommodated outside the front of the existing building. The proposal would provide 21 basement car parking spaces, including four disabled spaces, which would be accessed via a single ramp. This is considered acceptable as it would provide one space per flat and is designed so that car drivers safely able to manoeuvre. The site is within a Controlled Parking Zone and it is recommended that any permission for this site should be 'permit free'.

### 7.5 Refuse and Cycle Storage

7.51 With regards to cycle storage, eleven Sheffield stands are provided at the front of the building, which would provide 22 cycle parking spaces. This is adequate given there are 20 flats proposed, which means there would be one cycle space per flat. This complies with Policy 6.9 of the London Plan, which seeks a minimum of one cycle space per one or two-bedroom unit.
7.52 Adequate provision for refuse storage for each of the flats is provided via an integral bin store, which accessed from the northwest side of the building.

### 7.6 Trees and Landscaping

7.61 Policy NE. 11 of the UDP states that development will not be permitted if it would damage or destroy one or more trees, which are protected by a tree preservation order, is within a conservation area, or have significant amenity value as perceived from the public domain unless: removal of one or more trees is necessary in the interest of good arboricultural practice, or the reason of the development outweighs the amenity of the trees.
7.62 The application site is not within a conservation area, and there are not any trees on site, which are protected by a Tree Preservation Order. It is also considered that none of the trees, given only a few small trees are located at the front of the site have any significant amenity value when viewed from the public domain. However, there are a number of trees located in the rear of the site, particularly those close to the side boundary with No.11, which are considered to have some amenity value to occupiers of surrounding properties.
7.63 The applicant has submitted a tree survey, which shows that there are five Category 'B' trees (moderate quality) located at the rear of the site, with the remainder being Category ' $C$ ' (low quality) or lower. The applicant has submitted a landscape plan, which proposes the removal of only three Class ' C ' trees (two close to the boundary with No. 11 and the other close to the rear boundary) and one Class ' $U$ ' tree. This is considered acceptable given the low quality of the trees and the proposal to plant a number of new trees in their place. In addition, it should be noted that the existing front boundary edge is rather poor quality, with little foliage. The applicant proposes to plant new trees along the front boundary edge, which would offer significant amenity value. It is therefore considered that the proposal would accord with policy NE. 11 of the UDP.

## 8. SUSTAINABILITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENTS

8.1 The application does not constitute Schedule 1 or Schedule 2 development. Accordingly, there are no requirements in terms of EIA submission.

## 9. LOCAL FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

9.1 The proposed development would result in a net gain in gross floor space and as such will be liable to pay the Mayoral Community Infrastructure Levy, the funds for which will be applied by the Mayor towards Crossrail.

## 10. SECTION 106 LEGAL AGREEMENT

### 10.1 Affordable Housing

10.11 The National Planning Policy Framework (2012) makes a specific comment on the viability and deliverability of sites. Paragraph 173 states:
''Pursuing sustainable development requires careful attention to viability and costs in plan-making and decision-taking....To ensure viability, the costs of any requirements likely to e applied to development, such as requirements for affordable housing, standards, infrastructure contributions or other requirements should, when taking account of the normal cost of development and mitigation, provide competitive returns to a willing land owner and willing developer to enable the development to be deliverable."
10.12 The proposal in its current form would result in a net increase of 20 selfcontained residential units. Policy CS. 8 of the Core Strategy aims for a borough wide affordable housing target of $40 \%$ ( $60 \%$ Social Rented and $40 \%$ Intermediate), which is required on-site for developments of 10 or more units, subject to viability. This means the proposal would be expected to provide eight affordable units ( $5 \times$ Social rented and $3 x$ Intermediate).
10.13 The applicant has however provided evidence in the form of a viability assessment, which states that the scheme is not able to support eight affordable units (40\%) but is able to provide $25 \%$ Affordable Housing, in the form of Equity Sale for older people. The proposal for the Equity Sale units is that a $75 \%$ tranche is sold and the remainder of the equity is retained in perpetuity.
10.14 The Council has independently assessed the viability appraisal provided by the applicant. The independent assessment confirms that the scheme viability will not support more than five shared equity flats. It should also be noted that the Council's Housing Needs department support the application as it meets a strategic need for providing support and care services to promote early interventions and as such would help delay or prevent the need for higher level support.

### 10.2 Permit Free

10.21 The development is to be 'permit free' in line with the policies of the UDP and Core Strategy, which seek to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles in locations with good access to public transport facilities.
10.4 Further information in respect of the above, including details of supplementary research carried out in justification of the S106 requirements, can be viewed here:
http://www.merton.gov.uk/environment/planning/s106-agreements.htm

## 11. CONCLUSION

11.1 It is considered that the proposed building, which will offer a contemporary take on a traditional Victorian streetscene, would be of a high quality design that will complement the character and local distinctiveness of the adjoining townscape, without being excessive in terms of its size or overdeveloping the site.
11.2 It is considered that the proposed building will not be that visually intrusive or overbearing when viewed from Nos. 5 and 11 given it steps down from four storeys at the front to between one and two storeys at the rear. It should be noted that No. 5 is converted into flats with much of the rear garden used for car parking with garages located on the side boundary, whereas No. 11 is a house located on higher ground, which means the visual impact of the building would be reduced. It is also considered that the proposal will not have a detrimental impact on privacy or daylight/sunlight given that the building will feature splayed windows and balconies with privacy screens.
11.3 The flats will meet the minimum size requirements set out in the London Plan and have a good stacking arrangement and circulation with the majority being double aspect. The applicant has provided a daylight/sunlight analysis, which confirms that all the proposed flats will receive adequate levels of natural daylight and sunlight. Amenity, which will be provided through a communal garden and private balconies, terraces and patios, is also satisfactory in terms of its size and standard.
11.4 The proposed car/bicycle parking is considered acceptable as it will provide one car and bicycle space per flat. The site is within a Controlled Parking Zone and it is recommended that any permission for this site should be 'permit free'. The proposed removal of trees is also considered acceptable given these are of low quality. New trees will be planted at the rear of the property and along the front boundary, which will significantly improve the appearance of the application site when viewed from the street.
11.5 Overall, it is considered that the proposal will accord with the relevant polices within the Adopted Unitary Development Plan (2003), Core Planning Strategy (2011) and the London Plan (2011).

## RECOMMENDATION

## GRANT PLANNING PERMISSION

Subject to the completion of a Section 106 Agreement covering the following heads of terms:

1. That the residential units are 'Permit Free';
2. The developer agreeing to meet the Council's costs of preparing, drafting and monitoring the Section 106 Agreement.

And subject to the following conditions:

1. A. 1 (Commencement of development (full application))
2. B. 1 (External Materials to be Approved)
3. B. 4 (Details of Site/Surface Treatment)
4. B. 5 (Details of Walls and Fences)
5. C. 2 (No Permitted Development (Windows and Doors))
6. C. 7 (Refuse and Recycling (Implementation))
7. C. 8 (No Use of Flat Roof)
8. C. 9 (Balcony/Terrace (Screening))
9. C. 10 (Hours of Construction)
10. F. 2 (Landscaping (Implementation))
11. F. 4 (Tree Survey Approved)
12. F. 5 (Tree Protection)
13. F. 8 (Site Supervision)
14. H. 7 (Cycle Parking to be Implemented)
15. L. 2 (Code for Sustainable Homes (Level 4) - Pre-Commencement (New Build Residential))
16. L. 3 (Code for Sustainable Homes (Level 4) - Pre-Occupation (New Build Residential))
17. Prior to the commencement of the development details of the provision to accommodate all site operatives, visitors and construction vehicles and loading / unloading arrangements during the construction process shall be submitted and approved in writing with the Local Planning Authority. The approved details must be implemented and complied with for the duration of the construction process.

Reason: To safeguard the amenities of the occupiers of neighbouring properties.
18. J. 1 (Lifetime Homes)
19. INF. 27 (Community Infrastructure Levy)
20. In accordance with paragraphs 186 and 187 of the NPPF, The London Borough of Merton (LBM) takes a positive and proactive approach to

## Page 86

development proposals focused on solutions. LBM works with applicants/agents in a positive and proactive manner by:

- Offering a pre-application advice and duty desk service.
- Where possible, suggesting solutions to secure a successful outcome.
- As appropriate, updating applicants/agents of any issues that may arise in the processing of their application.

In this instance:

- The application was considered by the Planning Committee where the applicant/agent had the opportunity to speak to the committee and promote the application.
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4. Dormer Surroundss: Powder coated aluminium: Colour RAL. 7015 (wall access) D. Rallings: Facing Brickwork: Red Brick: Wrekin

Berkshire by Blockleys, Reconstituted Stone Coping, colour Black
Binstore Doors: Lowvered powder coated aluminitum external
fish RAL 7015 finish RAL 701

Privacy Screens: Textured glass by Plikington (Highest Privacy
Level 5)
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