

Committee: Children and Young People Overview and Scrutiny Panel

Date: 7th November 2018

Wards: All wards

Subject: Performance Report October 2018

Lead officer: Rachael Wardell, Director of Children, Schools and Families Department

Lead member: Cllr Kelly Braund, Cabinet Member for Children Services
Cllr Caroline Cooper-Marbiah, Cabinet Member for Education

Contact officer: Mark Gwynne, Interim Head of Policy, Planning and Performance

Recommendations:

A. Members of the panel note the contents of the performance report and discuss current performance and the changes proposed to the scrutiny performance framework by the panel's performance leads.

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 The report provides members of the panel with performance information to the end of September 2018 along with quarterly performance measures where available.

2 DETAILS

2.1 Performance Highlights

2.2 Work continues with re-establishing performance reporting following implementation of Mosaic. The performance report attached demonstrates further progress made in this regard and the few areas which remain a challenge. The areas in which we are currently unable to report performance remain as last month which are highlighted within the report and summarised below:

- 1: Common and Shared Assessments – this indicator is not currently captured within Mosaic and has a revised approach for which new performance measurement processes need to be established.
- 6: Number of family groups subject to child protection plans – this is not currently captured within Mosaic, but is due to be incorporated early in 2019 with the introduction of group working upgrades within the system. At this point, reports will be developed to enable reporting through Mosaic.
- 8: Quorate attendance at child protection conferences – again, this is not able to be reported through Mosaic, but records within the service area enable its reporting.

2.3 Performance indicators currently presenting a challenge are:

- % of Single Assessments authorised within the statutory 45 days – performance continues to improve, but is still short of target. Issues around sign off of

worksteps within Mosaic are being explored which is anticipated to improve performance.

- Percentage of reviews completed within timescale for Children with Child Protection Plans – this shows that 12 have not been authorised within the appropriate timescales so these are being investigated to determine if this is a recording issue.

2.4 Performance indicators currently performing particularly well are:

- 91% of schools Ofsted inspections rated as “Good” or “Outstanding”

2.5 Following changes agreed at the last some data has been added for new performance measures, but due to the short gap between meetings, not all data has yet been compiled and therefore will feed into the next report as definitions are confirmed and data collection / ratification processes established.

3. FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

3.1 No specific implications from this report.

4. LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

4.1 No specific implications from this report.

5. HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

5.1 No specific implications from this report

6. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

6.1 No specific implications from this report.

7. RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

7.1 No specific implications from this report.

8. APPENDICES – THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT

8.1 Appendix 1 – Performance Report

9. BACKGROUND PAPERS

9.1 None