

Committee: Council

Date: 6 February 2013

Agenda item: 14

Subject: Petitions

Lead officer: Paul Evans, Assistant Director, Corporate Governance

Lead member: Leader of the Council

Forward Plan reference number: N/A

Contact officer: David Dunford, Assistant Head of Democracy Services

david.dunford@merton.gov.uk

Recommendations: That Council

- (1) receives petitions (if any) (Part 4A, paragraph 18.1 of the Council's Constitution);
and
 - (2) note the advice given by officers in respect the petitions presented to the 21 November Council meeting.
-

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1. This report invites council to receive petitions in accordance with Part 4A, paragraph 18.1 of the Council's Constitution; and asks council to note the advice provided by officers to Councillors presenting petitions on behalf of residents.

2 DETAILS

- 2.1. Council at its meeting held on 21 November 2012 received the petitions detailed below. Petitions received by Council are referred to respective departments with responsible officers asked to advise the presenting member in each case of the way in which the petition is to be progressed.

2.2. PETITION DETAILS

- Controlled Drink Zone Petition
Presented at Council by Councillor Andrew Judge

Councillor Judge received the following response from officers:

A consultation on a borough wide CDZ was agreed at full council therefore no separate consultations are proposed at this stage (however should a borough wide one not be implemented it was agreed at the time of the Mitcham CDZ that the licensing committee would be the body to recommend or not further CDZ's to full council).

Your constituents comments will be considered in the overall borough wide consultation that will take place in January of next year. Please feel free to ask any further questions.

- Petition, Thornton Court – Traffic Restrictions on Grand Drive Presented at Council by Councillor Maurice Grove

Councillor Groves received the following response from officers:

The request for yellow lines has been added to the works programme for investigation. The introduction of restrictions will be subject to Cabinet Member approval and statutory consultation.

3 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

3.1. None for the purpose of this report.

4 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

4.1. None for the purpose of this report.

5 TIMETABLE

5.1. None for the purpose of this report.

6 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

6.1. None for the purpose of this report.

7 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

7.1. None for the purpose of this report.

8 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

8.1. None for the purpose of this report.

9 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

9.1. None for the purpose of this report.

10 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

11 APPENDICES – None

12 BACKGROUND PAPERS - None