

# London Borough of Merton Pension Fund

## Q3 2023 Investment Monitoring Report

Nick Jellema – Senior Investment Consultant  
Jamie McLaughlan – Associate Investment Consultant

Hymans Robertson LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC310282. A list of members of Hymans Robertson LLP is available for inspection at One London Wall, London EC2Y 5EA, the firm's registered office. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and licensed by the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries for a range of investment business activities. Hymans Robertson is a registered trademark of Hymans Robertson LLP.

Executive Summary

The Fund's assets returned -1.0% during the third quarter of 2023. To provide context, we have assessed total returns against a composite benchmark - a weighted average of the underlying manager benchmarks. Against this comparator, the Fund was behind the benchmark by 1.0% (top left chart). We have also shown performance against the Fund's actuarial target (top right chart), on the 3-year measure the Fund has underperformed with relative returns of -1.6% p.a.


Overall, the Fund's assets decreased by £18.0m from £864.2m to £846.2m.

Global growth continued to defy prior downside expectations in Q3, but survey data suggested that activity weakened towards the end of the quarter. Though headline inflation generally fell, core inflation remained persistently above central bank targets. Global equity markets lost ground in Q3 and long-term sovereign bond yields rose, as markets anticipated a longer period of tighter central bank policy. Despite the weakening growth outlook, oil prices rose sharply on fears of a global supply shortfall.


From an asset class perspective:

- Private and Multi-Asset Credit contributed positively to returns
- Diversified growth, property and social impact contributed negatively to returns
- Global and EM equities and Infrastructure had mixed returns


Fund performance vs benchmark/target



Fund performance vs actuarial target



Relative quarterly performance vs benchmark/target



## Asset Allocation

Following the 2022 strategy review the agreed long-term target allocation for the Fund is as follows:

- Global equities: 28.0%
- Emerging market equities: 5.0%
- Diversified growth fund: 5.0%
- Property: 5.0%
- Private credit: 6.5%
- Infrastructure: 11.5%
- Social Impact: 5.0%
- Multi-asset credit: 9.0%
- Risk management framework: 25.0%

A number of key transitions toward the new long-term target allocation have been completed. A 5% reduction to Emerging Market equities and 5% increase to RMF remains.

Commitments to social impact, infrastructure and private credit investments continued to be drawn down over time.

The Global equity allocation was trimmed during the period by £84m, helping bring all funds inline with their strategic target allocations. The LCIV Baillie Gifford Diversified Growth Fund was fully exited from during the period, redeeming a further £11m.


The £95m generated from these sales was then used to seed the Allspring CT Buy & Maintain Fund during the period.

From an investment perspective we fully support of the recent transitions helping move the Fund toward its new strategic target allocations.

Mandate	Valuation (£m)		Actual Proportion	Benchmark	Relative
	Q2 23	Q3 23			
LCIV RBC Sustainable Equity Fund	84.2	61.0	7.2%	7.0%	0.2%
LCIV Baillie Gifford Global Alpha Growth Paris Aligned Fund	77.1	57.6	6.8%	7.0%	-0.2%
BlackRock World Low Carbon Equity Tracker	109.9	65.2	7.7%	7.0%	0.7%
BlackRock ACS World Multifactor ESG Equity Tracker Fund	0.0	50.4	6.0%	7.0%	-1.0%
<b>Global Equities</b>	<b>271.2</b>	<b>234.1</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>-0.3%</b>
UBS GEM HALO	46.6	34.6	4.1%	5.0%	-0.9%
LCIV JP Morgan Emerging Market Equity Fund	34.1	33.5	4.0%	5.0%	-1.0%
<b>Emerging Market Equities</b>	<b>80.7</b>	<b>68.1</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>-2.0%</b>
LCIV Ruffer Absolute Return Fund	57.6	57.2	6.8%	5.0%	1.8%
LCIV Baillie Gifford Diversified Growth Fund	11.3	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Diversified Growth</b>	<b>69.0</b>	<b>57.2</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>
UBS Triton Property Fund	16.8	16.6	2.0%	2.5%	-0.5%
BlackRock UK Property Fund	7.3	7.2	0.8%	2.5%	-1.7%
<b>Property</b>	<b>24.1</b>	<b>23.8</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>-2.2%</b>
Henley Secure Income Property Fund II	42.4	46.3	5.5%	5.0%	0.5%
<b>Social Impact</b>	<b>42.4</b>	<b>46.3</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>
MIRA Infrastructure Global Solutions II L.P Fund	20.9	20.7	2.4%	2.0%	0.4%
Quinbrook Low Carbon Power LP Fund	11.3	9.6	1.1%	1.5%	-0.4%
Quinbrook Net Zero Power Fund	16.4	17.1	2.0%	3.0%	-1.0%
JP Morgan Infrastructure Fund	62.8	63.7	7.5%	5.0%	2.5%
<b>Infrastructure</b>	<b>111.4</b>	<b>111.1</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
Permira Credit Solutions IV Fund	26.3	26.3	3.1%	2.5%	0.6%
Permira Credit Solutions V Fund	6.2	6.8	0.8%	2.0%	-1.2%
Churchill Middle Market Senior Loan II Fund	18.7	18.0	2.1%	2.0%	0.1%
<b>Private Credit</b>	<b>51.3</b>	<b>51.2</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>-0.5%</b>
Allspring RMF Fund	68.3	60.9	7.2%	10.0%	-2.8%
Allspring Climate Transition Buy and Maintain Fund	0.0	95.6	11.3%	10.0%	1.3%
<b>Risk Management Framework</b>	<b>68.3</b>	<b>156.5</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>-1.5%</b>
LCIV CQS / PIMCO MAC Fund	74.7	75.4	8.9%	9.0%	-0.1%
<b>Multi Asset Credit</b>	<b>74.7</b>	<b>75.4</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>-0.1%</b>
Cash	71.1	22.6	2.7%	0.0%	2.7%
<b>Total Fund</b>	<b>864.2</b>	<b>846.2</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	

At the time of writing, latest quarterly information in respect of mandates held with MIRA, Quinbrook, Permira and Churchill are unavailable. We have lagged reporting by 3 months, therefore the valuations shown are as at Q2 2023 respectively. The FX rate used is lagged and at each of these dates also.

## Asset class exposures



## Manager performance

The Fund recorded an absolute return of -1.0% over Q3, underperforming its aggregate benchmark by 1.0%.

Over longer time periods shown, the Fund has recorded positive absolute returns, but failed to match its benchmarks over 12 month and 3-year time periods.

Of the developed equity mandates, both passive tracking BlackRock funds recorded positive returns.

Diversified growth, property and social impact all detracted from overall performance.

Of the Fund's Infrastructure assets, all posted positive returns except for Quinbrook LCP.

All private credit funds contributed positively as credit spreads on speculative debt tightened. LCIV MAC Fund also contributed positively.

The Allspring RMF contributed negatively as gilt yields rose during the period despite currency contracts gaining.

At time of writing, MIRA, Quinbrook LCP & NZPF, Permira IV & V and Churchill reporting information was unavailable. For performance reporting purposes we have lagged performance and valuations shown within our report by 3 months. We expect, given the illiquid nature of these mandates, this will be a regular occurrence.

Mandate	Last 3 Months (%)			Last 12 Months (%)			Last 3 Years (% p.a.)			Since Inception (% p.a.)		
	Fund	B'mark	Relative	Fund	B'mark	Relative	Fund	B'mark	Relative	Fund	B'mark	Relative
LCIV RBC Sustainable Equity Fund	-1.0	0.6	-1.5	-1.4	12.6	-12.5	3.7	11.3	-6.8	7.2	8.5	-1.2
LCIV Baillie Gifford Global Alpha Growth Paris Aligned Fund	-4.3	0.7	-5.0	4.5	11.8	-6.6	-	-	-	-4.0	4.8	-8.4
BlackRock World Low Carbon Equity Tracker	0.7	0.7	0.0	9.5	9.1	0.4	9.5	9.2	0.3	11.6	11.3	0.3
BlackRock ACS World Multifactor ESG Equity Tracker Fund	1.4	1.4	-0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	1.4	-0.0
<b>Global Equities</b>												
UBS GEM HALO	0.7	1.1	-0.4	-1.7	2.1	-3.7	-4.1	0.1	-4.2	2.1	3.0	-0.9
LCIV JP Morgan Emerging Market Equity Fund	-1.7	1.1	-2.8	0.6	3.4	-2.7	-0.2	1.4	-1.6	1.9	1.5	0.4
<b>Emerging Market Equities</b>												
LCIV Ruffer Absolute Return Fund	-0.8	2.0	-2.7	-3.7	7.2	-10.2	-	-	-	2.0	4.8	-2.7
<b>Diversified Growth</b>												
UBS Triton Property Fund	-0.9	-0.4	-0.5	-14.0	-14.3	0.4	3.6	3.2	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.2
BlackRock UK Property Fund	-0.8	-0.4	-0.4	-15.1	-14.3	-0.9	1.9	3.2	-1.2	0.9	1.3	-0.4
<b>Property</b>												
Henley Secure Income Property Fund II	-0.5	1.5	-2.0	-1.6	6.1	-7.3	-	-	-	-2.7	5.9	-8.1
<b>Social Impact</b>												
MIRA Infrastructure Global Solutions II L.P Fund	1.7	1.8	-0.1	6.3	7.4	-1.0	7.2	7.4	-0.1	5.6	6.0	-0.4
Quinbrook Low Carbon Power LP Fund	-2.7	1.8	-4.4	-0.6	7.4	-7.5	6.4	7.4	-0.9	5.1	6.8	-1.5
Quinbrook Net Zero Power Fund	1.4	1.5	-0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	6.0	-4.5
JP Morgan Infrastructure Fund	2.6	2.5	0.1	16.2	10.4	5.3	9.9	10.4	-0.5	8.1	9.7	-1.5
<b>Infrastructure</b>												
Permira Credit Solutions IV Fund	1.9	1.7	0.2	7.4	7.0	0.4	6.6	7.0	-0.4	4.9	5.6	-0.7
Permira Credit Solutions V Fund	1.6	1.7	-0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.5	4.8	0.7
Churchill Middle Market Senior Loan II Fund	2.7	1.7	1.0	9.0	7.0	1.9	4.6	7.0	-2.3	4.7	6.2	-1.3
<b>Private Credit</b>												
Allspring RMF Fund	-11.6	-11.6	0.0	40.7	40.7	0.0	-12.4	-12.4	0.0	-6.6	-6.6	0.0
Allspring Climate Transition Buy and Maintain Fund	0.6	0.6	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	0.6	0.0
<b>Risk Management Framework</b>												
LCIV CQS / PIMCO MAC Fund	1.1	2.4	-1.3	9.5	8.7	0.7	2.7	6.1	-3.2	2.0	5.2	-3.1
<b>Multi Asset Credit</b>												
Cash	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Fund</b>	<b>-1.0</b>	<b>-0.0</b>	<b>-1.0</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>10.9</b>	<b>-4.3</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>-2.7</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>0.1</b>

Note: Performance figures for MIRA, Quinbrook LCP & NZPF, Permira IV & V and Churchill are lagged by 3-months due to lack of manager information at the time of writing (see comment on left). As such, the performance shown is reflective of Q2 2023.


Better-than-expected Q2 data, released in Q3, led to further upwards revisions to 2023 global growth forecasts in Q3. However, survey indicators suggest that economic activity weakened throughout Q3, as services activity lost steam and the manufacturing sector remained in contraction. Europe looks a particular weak spot.

Headline inflation has generally stayed on a downwards trend, and came in at 3.7%, 6.7%, and 4.3% year on year in the US, UK, and eurozone, respectively. Core CPI inflation, which excludes volatile energy and food prices, has been falling more slowly, coming in at 4.3%, 6.2%, and 5.3%, in the US, UK and eurozone, respectively.


The Federal Reserve and Bank of England (BoE) raised rates 0.25% pa in Q3, to 5.5% pa and 5.25% pa. Both then left rates unchanged, unexpectedly so in the case of The BoE, at their September meetings. Meanwhile, the European Central Bank raised its deposit rate twice, to 4.0% p.a. The tone of central bank comments and market pricing suggest that policy rates are at, or close to peaking, but subsequent cuts will be more gradual than previously thought.

As a result, long-term sovereign bond yields rose. Heavy issuance amplified moves in 10-year US treasury yields which rose 0.7% pa to 4.6% pa. Equivalent German yields rose 0.5% p.a., to 2.8% p.a. Japanese government bond yields rose 0.4% pa, to 0.8% pa, as the Bank of Japan (BoJ) loosened its yield curve control policy in July. Short-term gilt yields fell, while longer-term yields rose – the 10-year yield saw more muted moves, rising 0.1% pa to 4.4% pa.


## Historic returns for world markets [1]



## Annual CPI Inflation (% p.a.)



## Sterling trend chart (% change)



Source: DataStream. [1]Returns shown in Sterling terms. Indices shown (from left to right) are: FTSE All World, FTSE All Share, FTSE AW Developed Europe ex-UK, FTSE North America, FTSE Japan, FTSE AW Developed Asia Pacific ex-Japan, FTSE Emerging, FTSE Fixed Gilts All Stocks, FTSE Index-Linked Gilts All Maturities, iBoxx Corporates All Investment Grade All Maturities, ICE BofA Global Government Index, MSCI UK Monthly Property; UK Interbank 7 Day


UK investment grade credit recorded positive total returns as short-term gilts yields and credit spreads fell. Global investment-grade spreads fell 0.1% pa to 1.3% pa. Speculative grade spread movements were muted with the US little changed, at 4.0% pa, and euro spreads tightening 0.1% to 4.4%.

The FTSE All World Total Return Index erased July's gains to end the quarter 2.2% lower, in local currency terms, as sovereign bond yields rose, and surveys indicated weaker global economic activity in Q3. Europe ex-UK underperformed, given weak business surveys and a large exposure to the struggling manufacturing sector. Above-average exposure to the tech sector saw North American equities also underperform. Japan outperformed as yen weakness lent support to the earnings of the export-heavy market. UK equities outperformed too, given above-average exposure to the energy sector.


Oil prices rose 28% over the quarter on the back of supply shortfall fears, climbing close to \$100 per barrel. On a year-over-year basis, oil prices are up 8.1%. Trade-weighted sterling fell 2.1% as near-term interest rate expectations fell, while the equivalent US dollar measure rose 2.0% and the Japanese yen fell a further 1.7%.

The MSCI UK Monthly Property Total Return Index returned -0.2% over the quarter as capital value declines in the office and retail sectors more than offset income. Over 12-months, capital values are down around 14%, 20%, and 23% in the retail, industrial, and office sectors, respectively. The office and retail sectors continue to see month-on-month capital value declines, while the industrial sector has recorded seven consecutive months of growth, though the pace has eased.


Gilt yields chart (% p.a.)




Investment and speculative grade credit spreads (% p.a.)



Regional equity returns [1]



Global equity sector returns (%) [2]



Source: DataStream, Barings, ICE [1] FTSE All World Indices. Commentary compares regional equity returns in local currency. [2] Returns shown in Sterling terms and relative to FTSE All World.

## Risk warning

Please note the value of investments, and income from them, may fall as well as rise. This includes equities, government or corporate bonds, and property, whether held directly or in a pooled or collective investment vehicle. Further, investment in developing or emerging markets may be more volatile and less marketable than in mature markets. Exchange rates may also affect the value of an investment. As a result, an investor may not get back the amount originally invested. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance.

Hymans Robertson LLP and our group companies have a wide range of clients some of which are fund managers, who may be parties in our recommendations to you in various circumstances including but not limited to manager selection, moving money to or from a manager or supporting retention of or disinvestment from a manager. We have a research team that advises on shortlisting fund managers in manager selection exercises and forming views on managers, which is separate from our client and other relationships with fund managers and therefore we do not believe there will be a conflict that would influence the advice given.

Hymans Robertson LLP has relied upon third party sources and all copyright and other rights are reserved by such third party sources as follows: DataStream data: © DataStream; Fund Manager data: Fund Manager; Morgan Stanley Capital International data: © and database right Morgan Stanley Capital International and its licensors 2023. All rights reserved. MSCI has no liability to any person for any losses, damages, costs or expenses suffered as a result of any use or reliance on any of the information which may be attributed to it; Hymans Robertson data: © Hymans Robertson. Whilst every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of such estimates or data - including third party data - we cannot accept responsibility for any loss arising from their use. © Hymans Robertson LLP 2023.

## Geometric v arithmetic performance

Hymans Robertson are among the investment professionals who calculate relative performance geometrically as follows:

$$\frac{(1 + \text{Fund Performance})}{(1 + \text{Benchmark Performance})} - 1$$

Some industry practitioners use the simpler arithmetic method as follows:

$$\text{Fund Performance} - \text{Benchmark Performance}$$

The geometric return is a better measure of investment performance when compared to the arithmetic return, to account for potential volatility of returns.

The difference between the arithmetic mean return and the geometric mean return increases as the volatility increases.

This page is intentionally left blank