

Committee: Cabinet

Date: 21st March 2022

Wards: All Wards

Subject: Re-purposing the High Street Task Group Report.

Lead member: Councillor Peter Southgate, Task Group Chair.

Contact Officer: Stella Akintan; stella.akintan@merton.gov.uk; 020 8545 3390

Recommendations:

- A. That Cabinet considers the report and notes the recommendations (attached in Appendix A) arising from the scrutiny review of the Re-purposing the High Street.
 - B. That Cabinet agrees to consider implementation of an action plan to be drawn up by officers working with relevant local partner organisations and Cabinet Member(s) to be designated by Cabinet.
-

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To present the scrutiny review report on “Re-purposing the High Street” for endorsement and seek approval to implement the review recommendations through an action plan being drawn up.

2. DETAILS

- 2.1 In June 2020 scrutiny councillors agreed to undertake a scrutiny review of Re-purposing the High Street.
- 2.2 The findings and recommendations of the review are set out in Appendix A.

3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 3.1 The Overview and Scrutiny Commission can select topics for scrutiny review and for other scrutiny work as it sees fit, taking into account views and suggestions from officers, partner organisations and the public.
- 3.2 Cabinet is constitutionally required to receive, consider and respond to scrutiny recommendations within two months of receiving them at a meeting.
- 3.3 Cabinet is not, however, required to agree and implement recommendations from Overview and Scrutiny. Cabinet could agree to implement some, or none, of the recommendations made in the scrutiny review final report.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

- 4.1 In carrying out its review, the task group met with senior council officer’s local businesses, residents’ associations and ward councillors affected by this issue.

4.2 TIMETABLE

- 4.3 The final report was approved by the Commission on the 16th February 2022 where it was agreed to present the report to Cabinet.

5. FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

5.1 None for the purposes of this covering report. It is envisaged that the recommendations in the attached report will not have any major resource implications. However, any specific resource implications will be identified and presented to Cabinet prior to agreeing an action plan for implementing the report's recommendations.

7. LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

7.1 None for the purposes of this report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the legal and statutory implications of the topic being scrutinised.

8. HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

8.1 It is a fundamental aim of the scrutiny process to ensure that there is full and equal access to the democratic process through public involvement and engaging with local partners in scrutiny reviews. Furthermore, the outcomes of reviews are intended to benefit all sections of the local community.

9. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

9.1 None for the purposes of this report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the crime and disorder implications of the topic being scrutinised.

10. RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

10.1 None for the purposes of this report.

11. APPENDICES – THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT

Appendix 1 – Repurposing the High Street Task Group Report.

12. BACKGROUND PAPERS